

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_112251
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Covid-19 Social Restriction Measures on Sexual Behaviours and Outcomes of Young Adults in Rivers State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>On the introduction, there is a need to expound this statement to further establish the need in conducting the study, 1-2 sentences may do – “However there is paucity of data on the influence of Covid-19 social restriction measures on sexual behaviours of young adults in Rivers State.”</p> <p>On ethical consideration, change the word “gotten” to “issued” – “Ethical approval was (issued by) gotten from the University of Port Harcourt Ethics committee.”</p> <p>On conclusion, rephrase this statement: “This study contributed a lot to the body of knowledge;”</p> <p>On the discussion, some portion needs a thorough weaving of the results, its implications, and corroboration. These three needs to be strengthen as the study is quantitative in nature.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>There are some words that need to be changed, words that are more academic in nature. Instead of gotten used “issued”. Overall, the language is clear.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The result is interesting and the entirety of the study is valuable in many disciplines. Congratulations to the author/s!</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bryan R. Realgo
Department, University & Country	College of Arts and Sciences, Mariano Marcos State University, Philippines