

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_111932
Title of the Manuscript:	ASERTAINING SORGHUM [Sorghum bicolour (L.) Moench] AS AN ANTIDIABETIC PLANT
Type of the Article	MERELY A LITERATURE SURVEY

PART 1: Review Comments

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>NOT REALLY, BECAUSE ALL THE ENTIRE MATERIAL IS WIDELY AVAILABLE ALL OVER.</p> <p>SUITABLE AS AN LITERATURE REVIEW, TO THE BEST OF MY VISION.</p> <p>O.K.</p> <p>EVERYTHING IS APPROPRIATE BECAUSE ALL THE MATERIAL IS COPIED WITH CHANGING PHARASES FROM BOOKS, WIKEPEDIA AND BOTANY BOOKS.</p> <p>AS A REVIEW MAY BE CONSIDERED.</p> <p>NO NEED FOR FURTHER REFERENCES.</p> <p>MERELY A LITERATURE SURVEY AND CAN NOT BE CONSIDERED FOR PUBLICATION AS RESEARCH ARTICLE.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>ABOUT 50 GRAMMATIC CORRECTIONS ARE NEEDED, WHEN THE AUTHOR WILL REVISE IN A SENCE OF GRAMMER.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>IT IS A REVIEW AND NO PRACTICAL WORK HAS BEEN CARRIED OUT AT ALL. THE NUMBERING OF DIFFERENT PHARASE ARE NOT NEEDED.</p> <p>MAY BE ACCEPTED AS LITERATURE REVIEW ONLY About Plant, TO THE BEST OF MY EXPERIENCE.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naeem Hasan Khan
Department, University & Country	Aimst University, Malaysia