

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_111848
Title of the Manuscript:	Emerging Food Processing and Preservation Approaches for Nutrition and Health
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, this review serves as a valuable resource for researchers in the field of advancing nutrition and health through state-of-the-art food processing and preservation approaches.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title justified the content of the review paper, concise, accurate, and informative.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract addressed the research problem and stated the overall significance of the research along with the future perspective of the paper.</p> <p>4. Yes, it is. But the title must be revised e.g., the title Result is not appropriate in the review paper. it might be modified as "Literature findings" or similar text.</p> <p>5. Yes, it is. But the tables may have references. So that the target audience might get deep insight if the content is relevant to them.</p> <p>6. Yes, it is up to 2022. The author may add reference up to 2023 if available.</p> <p>The author may follow the relevant review paper form indexed papers in reputed platforms or publisher e.g., ScienceDirect, PubMed, MDPI, ACS and so forth before designing the content of the review paper.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author may follow the relevant review paper form indexed papers in reputed platforms or publisher e.g., ScienceDirect, PubMed, MDPI, ACS and so forth before designing the content of the review paper.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sumit Kumar Dubey
Department, University & Country	D.L.S. P.G. College, India