

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_111814
Title of the Manuscript:	Stochastic Mortality in Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The results obtained in the paper are of a high enough standard to be published, with a few necessary changes. The problem was approached using a combination of standard techniques and some fresh ideas, resulting in accurate and reliable results. However, there are a few points that require further clarification before it can be accepted for publication:</p> <p>The study's motivation should be highlighted more, specifically by clearly demonstrating the main advantages of the results in the paper compared to others.</p> <p>English could benefit from further improvement, as there are some spelling and grammar errors in the paper. Additionally, there are some editing issues present in the paper.</p> <p>3. It would be beneficial to expand the example section and include some remarks to demonstrate the effectiveness and efficiency of the proposed method in comparison to others.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manlika Ratchagit
Department, University & Country	Maejo University, Thailand