

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJPAS_111609</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>On the Confidence Intervals in Bioequivalence Studies</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes. The authors consider an important issue. The paper provides insights into the theory behind bioequivalence testing, including the use of geometric mean, the non-symmetry of bioequivalence limits around 1, and the product of bioequivalence limits equaling 1. These are new ideas.</b></p> <p><b>The title is too general. I propose to make it more specific, for example, New Equivalence Test Using Geometric Means.</b></p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p><b>No. The most recent reference is dated by 2017.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dmitriy Klyushin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Ukraine</b>