

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_112839
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing Access and Knowledge Sharing: The Role of Near Field Communication in Modernizing Agriculture Libraries in India
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The significance, problem statement, and gap of the study are not fully highlighted. ● The title must contain the main issues of the article, especially the methodology (descriptive vs. inferential). ● Abstract must contain all parts of the article (significance, problem, objectives, method, results, and discussion) briefly. ● The structure: Why were the parts for results and discussion not included? In the results and discussion section, the results must be explained with numbers and details. Additionally, at the end of the results section, all objectives must be answered with details and graphs. ● Actual data must be sent to check if the manuscript is reliable or not. ● Literature must not be short and old; articles must belong to the last 5 years. ● References must be written in APA format, 12 Arial, and DOI and website citations must be correct. ● Participants: must be explained in detail (faculty, or faculty members? What is the difference with staff?). ● Data Collection: took place over a specified period (when?). ● Data Analysis: Which software and method were used to interpret the data? Is it descriptive? Details must be provided. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, the quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Azadeh Moosavi
Department, University & Country	Universiti Putra Malaysia, Iran