

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_108944
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Putri Malu (Mimosa pudica Linn) Leaf Extract as an Inhibitor of Vibrio cholerae Growth
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is important.</p> <p>I think the title should be modified. "Effect of Putri Malu (Mimosa pudica Linn) Leaf Extract on the Growth of Vibrio cholerae"</p> <p>The abstract is not comprehensive and needs total overhauling.</p> <p>Not at all. The statement of the research problem, justification and Objectives of the research have not been clearly spelt out. The methodology was not sectionalised, no sub-headings to explain each method. Also, the results were not well presented, tables and figures were not properly titled. In the discussion, a table was presented in the middle of discussion of results, which is not appropriate.</p> <p>Not really. In the abstract, it said an in vivo study was carried out, but the results say a different thing.</p> <p>There are still some statements that require references. However, most of the references used are very recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The author(s) need to work seriously on the whole write-up. The construction of English is poor and need to be improved upon for better flow and understanding.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Maimuna Bello Umar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Technology, Nigeria</b>