

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_111566
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical screening and evaluation in vitro of antimicrobial activity of extracts of four Cameroonian medicinal plants on bacterial and fungal strains involved in skin lesion infections.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, its having application in making ayurvedic and unani medicines. It could be helpful in treating serious fungal and bacterial infections.</p> <p>Title needs to be redefined. It should not include the word medicinal as the plant name is self explanatory. It should be "Phytochemical screening and evaluation <i>in vitro</i> of antimicrobial activity of aqueous and hydroethanolic extracts of Cameroonian spp. on bacterial and fungal strains involved in skin lesion infections".</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Few subsections are incomplete. No details given for subsection Quantitative determination of metabolite groups</p> <p>Yes, work is having scientific importance.</p> <p>Recent references from last 5 years needs to be inculcated.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Article needs to be critically reviewed for writing scientific names, grammatical errors.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Article look like more or less like a project report, rather than the manuscript so needs critical revision</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prabhjot Singh Jassal
Department, University & Country	Lovely Professional University, India