

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_112453
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence the adoption of improved production technology among mustard growers in the Jaunpur District (Uttar Pradesh)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, the researcher made a good attempt to study the adoption of improved production technology among mustard growers in UP.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is suitable for the study.</p> <p>3. The abstract is ok.</p> <p>4. The sections and subsections are ok. The statement of the problem is missing in the introduction part. Objective of the study, review of literature also missing in the article.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. The researcher used only simple percentage analysis for analyzing the data.</p> <p>6. The researcher should incorporate the recent reviews to the article.</p> <p>The research gap is missing. What is the real research problem? The researcher should add hypotheses and use more statistical tools with the help of SPSS.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	English quality of the article suitable	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Sujith. T. S</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, India</b>