

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_111735
Title of the Manuscript:	Simple Tests for n-th Roots of Natural Numbers being Natural Numbers and Elementary Methods to Determine Their Values
Type of the Article	review article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>For mathematics students it can play the role of source of knowledge.</p> <p>it is ok.</p> <p>Abstract is not comprehensive as it does not contain methodology and its contribution.</p> <p>ok</p> <p>40 percent</p> <p>References are not sufficient but some of the references are recent one,</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Language needs to be re written.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article needs to follow the general criteria of article format like Abstract, introduction, objectives, methodology, results, discussion, conclusion and discussion. This article seems like writing in a book and it is readable for book readers rather than article. The examples are hypothetical. Applications in different fields have not been explained. Methodology is not mentioned in the article. Analytical part is not given in the article.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indra Mali Malakar
Department, University & Country	Tribhuvan University, Nepal