

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_112061
Title of the Manuscript:	Decoding Genetic Symphony: Understanding Gene Dynamics and Synergies for Enhanced Sorghum Yield. (Sorghum bicolor [L.] Monech)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1.- Yes.</p> <p>2.- Yes.</p> <p>3.- Yes.</p> <p>4.- The structure is not correct. The titles are correct. Introduction, materials and methods, results and conclusions. Abbreviations should be explained or placed on a table.</p> <p>5.- It can be assumed that some conclusions are made within the results section. But there must be a conclusions section of the study carried out.</p> <p>6.- It is advisable that references are not older than 8-10 years. Except one or two if its use is extremely important. It is suggested to carry out a bibliographic review of the studies published in the last 10 years and update the references in the text. Some more references could be recommended. Some more references could be added, up to 40. All references must be named in the article and vice versa. There are references in the references section and they are not in the article and references in the article that are not named in the references section.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	-Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>- Typographical errors to be corrected. Spaces after periods, hyphens, separations...</p> <p>- As a tip, you can add a section, Analysis of the limitations of the study.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noé Anes García
Department, University & Country	University Oviedo, Spain