

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_112178</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Biocidal Efficacy of a New and Native Species of Entomopathogenic Nematode against Gram Pod Borer, Helicoverpa armigera (Hubner)</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, on the basis of research work it is helpful to understand the efficacy of local nematode strains against various insect pests.</p> <p>Provided article title is suitable for this manuscript</p> <p>Yes, abstract provided all complete information regarding the research work</p> <p>Please follow the general guidelines for manuscript preparation as per journal recommendations</p> <p>Yes, this manuscript scientifically correct</p> <p>Please cross check the all references again and add new references, if possible.</p> <p>Provide the photographs/ photoplates related the research work.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, language is suitable and easy to understand for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Laxman Singh Saini</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rajasthan Agricultural Research Institute, India</b>