

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_112097
Title of the Manuscript:	Geochemical and isotopic constraints on the recharge and salinization origin of groundwater aquifers in El Rina–Nihayaat, South Sinai, Egypt
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> This manuscript is of capital importance for the scientific community in the sense that compared to the objectives set by the authors, the results obtained are consistent with these objectives. Furthermore, analyzes and discussions made by the authors are relevant. The title of the abstract is appropriate. The summary of the article is really understandable, especially since the problem and the general objective are clearly defined and the results obtained in the study clearly stand out. The article is very well structured and very well subdivided. The manuscript is scientifically correct There are enough references but some of them are not recent. The authors would benefit from updating certain references which date, for example, from 1972. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The article is of very good quality, from a language point of view as well as from a results and discussion point of view.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors have to choose the type of references between Rosenthal et al. (2007) and [11] for example. Figures 2 and 4 are not referred to in the text. Review the numbering order of the figures. Indeed, from Figure 5, the figures announced in the text do not correspond to the associated figures.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saidou Ndao
Department, University & Country	University Iba Der Thiam of Thies, Senegal