

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_112004
Title of the Manuscript:	DOES MONETARY POLICY INFLUENCE NON-PERFORMING LOANS OF LISTED COMMERCIAL BANKS IN KENYA
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important to scientific community because it has followed chronological and logical method of research. Also, the study topic is important for economic policy formulation.</p> <p><b>Abstract:</b> The abstract is good but it failed to state the implication of the findings and it should state the full meaning of GDP, CBR and NPLs</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> On paragraph 7 line 4, state of the studies</p> <p><b>Statement of Problem:</b> the problem is clearly stated</p> <p><b>Literature Review:</b> There is need to include conceptual reviews to enable better understanding by readers.</p> <p><b>Theoretical Review:</b> Link the theory of Monetary Circuit to non-performing loan</p> <p><b>Empirical Review:</b> The empirical review is good but the author should state the gap in literature for this study.</p> <p><b>Discussion of Findings:</b> The discussion of findings contradicts the conclusion. It should align with the conclusion.</p> <p><b>Conclusion:</b> The author should provide recommendations on the findings.</p> <p><b>Reference:</b> The list of reference should be corrected to be consistent with APA 6 as in the body of the work</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The language used is suitable</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Okike Benjamin Matthew</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nigerian College of Accountancy, Nigeria</b>