

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJEBA_112003</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>BANK LENDING RATES AND NONPERFORMING LOANS IN LISTED COMMERCIAL BANKS IN KENYA</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it has the good contribution to Knowledge in the field of study</p> <p><b>The title is suitable has it portrays the problem the study intends to address</b></p> <p>Yes the abstract is comprehensive</p> <p><b>Yes very appropriate</b></p> <p><b>Yes is scientifically correct</b></p> <p><b>Yes there are Recent references</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>Very appropriate</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The paper is suitable for publication,the view outline comments should be considered</p> <p><b>The paper is good, and makes a good contribution to knowledge, but the major issue here is that the author have not specified the gap this paper intends to fill, haven reviewed related empirical works on the title.</b></p> <p>Secondly, in the methodology under model specification the author has not introduced other control variable into the study to see their reactions with the independent variable.q</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ofonime Moses Akpan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Education, Nigeria</b>