

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_112057
Title of the Manuscript:	"A Review on Apple Farming in India"
Type of the Article	Analytical article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This article is important to the scientific community. 2. The article describes the development process of apple farming in several periods. With this in mind, I recommend the title "Development Prospects of Apple Farming in India". 3. The article is comprehensively written. 4. Manuscript sections are written (edited) with their own additions. It does not meet the requirements of an international journal. It would be good if it could be adapted to IMRAD requirements. 5. The structure of the manuscript is not scientifically sound. 6. References are sufficient and relevant to the article. But it would be appropriate to use literature published in international journals. <p>Additional comment:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It would be good if the data were presented on the basis of a graph. 2. Methods of growing apples are given but no pictures or diagrams are given. Let methods and methods be based on pictures. 3. The formalization status of literature is not suitable for international journals. Make a bibliography based on articles in journals indexed in Web of Science, Scopus or Google Scholar. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The writing of the article corresponds to the scientific language. (Well expressed in English). But there are some grammatical errors. (not "tonnes", tons. Not "colour", color. Not "favourable", favorable). However, these grammatical errors do not affect the meaning of the article.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In general, I consider the article to be well written, taking into account the shortcomings and suggestions mentioned above. (Suggestions and shortcomings should be taken into account and definitely eliminated).</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Almardon Mustafoqulov
Department, University & Country	"Tashkent Institute of Irrigation and Agricultural Mechanization Engineers" National Research University, Uzbekistan