

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_112321
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of zepp's procedure in management of chronic otitis externa in dogs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community. Otitis externa in dogs is common in small animal veterinary practice. Although the diagnosis is simple, being based on clinical signs and physical examination, it is a disease of multifactorial aetiology and further investigation to determine the cause(s) is often warranted.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is less comprehensive. There is no background and conclusion in the abstract.</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. But, in the discussion section , the discussion displayed is less in depth. It is necessary to add the latest literature that can support the result of this research.</p> <p>The references are not sufficient and too old. Need much the lates references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality of the article is not suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript needs many improvement</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Siti Mutoharoh
Department, University & Country	Universitas Muhammadiyah Gombong, Indonesia