

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ AJARR_109484
Title of the Manuscript:	Adopting Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education: Challenges & Possibilities
Type of the Article	General Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is very general, and lacking in novelty. It does not add to the scientific discourse.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>The paper does not expand significantly on the abstract, which is very general.</p> <p>Subsections and structure are appropriate.</p> <p>The references are very limited, after 40 years of international publications in the field. The citations and references do not always correspond.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language needs to be edited by a native English speaker. Note that the author refers to "Artificial Intelligent" and "Artificial Intelligence" interchangeably.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I am not familiar with the journal to which this paper was submitted, and the standard of typical submissions.</p> <p>This paper is weak, and suggests that the author has had little or no practical experience in the field, and limited access to the literature on AI in Education.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Richard Ennals
Department, University & Country	Kingston University, UK