

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_109484
Title of the Manuscript:	Adopting Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education: Challenges & Possibilities
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the title of the manuscript is suitable and characterizes its theme.</p> <p>2. Yes, the abstract of the manuscript is comprehensive and reflects the content of the manuscript. However, due to the insufficient scientific level of the manuscript, even the abstract does not meet the requirements of scientific journals.</p> <p>3. Structure of the manuscript is insufficient. Too little attention is paid to the literature review. The manuscript does not have its own methodological part – it does not have any empirical research. Nor does it have any discussion or controversy of its own findings with the findings of other authors (because no own findings resulting from empirical research are included).</p> <p>4. In relation to the previous statement, the manuscript is not sufficiently correct.</p> <p>5. Unfortunately, the number of sources used is insufficient (the References list contains only 14 sources).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Although the English is mostly understandable, many sentences are very long and require full concentration to understand. There are many grammatical and stylistic errors in the text. I recommend performing a thorough stylistic proofreading.</p> <p>Minor imperfections include e.g., the use of capital letters in the middle of sentences (in the abstract); the use of the term Artificial intelligent even in cases where the term Artificial intelligence should rather be used; not ending the sentence with a full stop.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I recommend the authors to complete all the above facts (aims of the manuscript; defining a gap in the literature to fill it; a thorough theoretical analysis; presenting their own research (for example, conducted on a sample of students); discussion of the obtained results.</p> <p>Good luck.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Martina Blašková
Department, University & Country	Police Academy of the Czech Republic in Prague, Czechia