

ReviewForm1.7

JournalName:	Journal ofScientificResearchandReports
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JSRR_112060
TitleoftheManuscript:	"Advances inPrecisionAgricultureTechnologiesfor SustainableCropProduction"
Type oftheArticle	

PART 1:Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community. The manuscript is well written with proper text and photos. The introduction, importance and application of precision agriculture as well as the overview, key innovations, benefits, limitations, and future outlooks of precision agriculture technology have been described nicely. The learnings and findings of the manuscript will be helpful for respective persons and organizations.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable. But following alternate title would be better. Alternate Title: The introduction, importance and application of precision agriculture for sustainable crop production.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Mostly appropriate but "Barriers to Adoption & Future Directions" may be replaced by "Challenges to Adoption & Future Directions".</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. I think the references are sufficient but addition of recent references will add value.</p> <p><u>Additional suggestions/comments:</u></p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. I think the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[ReviewForm1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md. Shah Kamal Khan
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh