

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_112333
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effects of Perception and Childhood History on the Likelihood of Using Corporal Punishment on Children among Respondents in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, it is the first known study to examine perceptions and beliefs in relation to corporal punishment and the possibility of its use in disciplining children in the region.</p> <p>2. The Effects of Perception and Childhood History on the Likelihood of Using Corporal Punishment on Children insouthwest Nigeria</p> <p>3. Yes, just that the research approach, design and how the data was analysed were not addressed.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. The references are somehow sufficient but not all that recent. Especially, about 34% of them were old (either 2010 or below).</p> <p>The theory that underpinned the study was not mentioned and the relationship between those variables understudied were not depicted by that theory.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is a nice paper for publication. However, the adjusted R2 of the analysis was low so the assumptions such as normality of the data should be checked and worked on to improve upon the data. Also, reporting of correlation results should be looked at again, especially showing how strong or weak the correlation was.</p>	

Comment [O1]: Then nothing was mention about the theory of reasoned action or planned behaviour in the introductory section? Please try and comment on the theory that underpinned this study in the introduction

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oscar Agyemang Opoku
Department, University & Country	University of Cape Coast, Ghana