

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_112064
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of edible coatings chitosan and calcium gluconate on sugars and ascorbic acid content of mango (<i>Mangifera indica</i> L.) Cv. Banganpalli
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>I read and studied the manuscript and found the title novel and interesting. However, there are points to need to pay more attention.</p> <p>Yes, it described the main aspects of the manuscript.</p> <p>The abstract should be modified to be more attractive to the reader.</p> <p>Subsections were appropriately designed according to the topic.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>References were up-to-date. However, newly published papers published in the Journal of Plant & Soil Science and some other journals are good to to be added to the introduction.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is important to check the English editing of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Although the manuscript is written by a professional team, some points are naive and need to be discussed more openly. Therefore, I suggest a major revision before any further considerations</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leila Mohammadi
Department, University & Country	Laval University, Canada