

## Original Research Article

### **Assessment of Rice- Mustard & Rice- Chickpea through conservation agricultural practices under Rice- Fallow cropping system in Satna district of Madhya Pradesh, India**

#### **ABSTRACT**

Rice – Fallow land due to the growing of a longer more extended duration variety of Rice by farmers affect timely sowing of rabi crop and land remains fallow due to inadequate moisture in field along with terminal heat stresses (about 14000 ha area) in district Satna (M.P.).The trial consisted of three treatments viz., T<sub>1</sub>- Rice (Variety with maturity above 125 days) in Kharif- Rabi fallow, T<sub>2</sub>- Rice (MTU-1010)-Mustard (Pusa Mustard 28), T<sub>3</sub>- Rice (MTU-1010)-Chickpea (RVG-202).The Rice-Mustard cropping system recorded higher Rice equivalent yield over rice-fallow by 42.12 qha<sup>-1</sup>, system productivity 36.97 kgha<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>, profitability by ₹470.94 ha<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup> and net return ₹103608ha<sup>-1</sup>.Similarly, Rice-Chickpea cropping system gave 37.84 qha<sup>-1</sup>Rice equivalent yield, 35.11 kgha<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup> higher productivity, ₹ 431.56 ha<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>and net return ₹94942ha<sup>-1</sup> higher profit than rice-fallow cropping system. Thus, from the present study, it can be concluded that rice-chickpea and rice mustard cropping systems are appropriate and effective ways to use fallow land under Rice- Fallow cropping system.

**Keywords:** Rice, Mustard, Chickpea, cropping system, yield, Rice Equivalent Yield

#### **INTRODUCTION**

“Rice fallow (11.7 million ha) is a mono-crop rice-based production system in India. The rice-fallow areas are mostly concentrated in the parts Satna district about 14000 ha. The reasons for fallowing after rainy season are lack of irrigation, fast depletion of the soil residual moisture and poor socio-economic condition of the farmers along with some regional constraints such as free grazing of cattle.Besides this, the late harvesting of long duration rice (150–160 days) delays the sowing of winter crops that, in turn, aggravates the moisture and terminal heat stresses in winter crops. Unfavourable physical attributes of soil owing to puddling (wet tillage), soil compaction and acidic soil reaction further add to the poor performance of winter crops in rice fallow areas. Replacing rice with any other crop is very difficult during the rainy season due to the region's prevailing soil and climatic conditions; intensification and diversification of the rice-based cropping system can only be the alternative option” [11].“Efficient and proper utilization of rice-fallow lands in dryseason after a rice crop has the ability to meet the demands arises for food and nutrition of the growing population. A number of short duration and moisture stresstolerant crops and their improved varieties can be grown in these fallow lands as theclimatic and soil condition of the fallows varied from vary small duration to as long as3 months. Pulses, because of shorter duration and their ability to grow under residualmoisture are good candidates for efficient utilization of fallows. However, knowledgeand technical gaps in management practices of pulses under fallows and different soilconditions creates hindrance in its proper utilization. Adoption of early and mid-earlyrice varieties in wet season will have a greater window for raising a good crop in dryseason particularly the pulses and oilseeds having

tolerance to low temperature. diversification and intensification of cropping system with the proper use of available and irrigation facility can provide higher yield as well as better net returns" (Banjara, et al. 2022) [1]. "Addition of pulse and oilseed is more beneficial than cereals after cereals in rice-based cropping system" (Banjara, et al. 2021 and Sarkar, 2015) [2, 3]. "Crops like oilseeds and pulses are gaining more attention owing to higher prices due to increased market demand. Inclusion of these crops in a sequence, changes the economics of the cropping sequences" (Gangwar & Prasad, 2005) [4].

## METHOD AND MATERIAL

On-farm testing on rice was conducted during 2020-21 at farmer's fields of Satna district to assess Rice- Mustard & Rice- Chickpea through conservation agricultural practices under the Rice-Fallow cropping system. 10 OFTs were conducted with randomly selected farmer's fields in Naugawan and Shahpur villages of Majhgawan block of Satna. Direct seeding of duration short variety of Rice (MTU 1010) followed by zero tillage sowing of Mustard or Chickpea by utilizing the residual soil moisture of rice field. The trial consisted of three treatments viz., T<sub>1</sub>- Rice (Variety with maturity above 125 days) in kharif- Rabi fallow, T<sub>2</sub>- Rice (MTU-1010)-Mustard (Pusa Mustard 28), T<sub>3</sub>- Rice (MTU-1010)-Chickpea (RVG-202). With this objective in mind a field experiment was conducted at Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Majhgawan (Satna) during 2020-21 to assess Mustard & Rice- Chickpea through conservation agricultural practices under the- Fallow cropping system. Geographically, Satna is in the Satpura and Kaymore Plateau range at an elevation of 313 metres above mean sea level and between 24°51'15" and 24°57'30"N latitude and 80°43'30" and 80°54'15"E longitude. "The place has a subtropical climate characterized by a hot and dry summer and a chilly winter. The farmer's fields had sandy loam soil with a shallow depth, low readily available nitrogen, low phosphorus and more readily available potassium. The soil reaction was close to neutral. The conventional rice-wheat cropping system has been observed on the ground since the last 15 years. The paddy, Chickpea and Mustard were sown with tractor operated seed drill in on farm testing field. Critical inputs like seeds, seed treatment, fertilizer, fungicide, herbicide and pesticide were managed by farmers himself as per recommendation of package of practices of Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Jabalpur. Selected farmers were trained on use of seed drill for sowing and frequent field visits have made for collection of required data during crop growth period and on the harvest. Farmers were informed to note down all quantities of inputs used in all practices and used for economic analysis. The necessary step for the selection of site and farmers, lay out of demonstration, etc were followed as suggest by Chaudhary" [5]. "The farmer practices were maintained in case of local check. The data output was collected from both improved practices as well as farmer practices. The yields of all the crops in the sequences were converted into Rice Equivalent Yield (REY) for comparison between different cropping systems. The REY of the systems were calculated in terms of rice using the formula given" by Kumar et al. [6]

**Rice equivalent Yield (REY) ( $q\ ha^{-1}$ ) =  $\sum Y_i \times P_i / P(p)$**

where, Y<sub>i</sub>= yield of non-rice crops; P<sub>i</sub>= price of respective crops and P(p)= price of rice.

**Gross monetary returns (GMR):** Based on the prices of output prevailing at the time of harvest, treatment-wise GMR (₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) was computed.

**Net monetary returns (NMR):** Based on the current market price of inputs and outputs, the NMR (₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) was worked out by using the following formula.

$$\text{Net monetary returns (₹ ha}^{-1}\text{)} = [\text{Gross monetary returns (₹ ha}^{-1}\text{)}] - [\text{Total cost of cultivation (₹ ha}^{-1}\text{)}]$$

**Benefit: cost ratio:** It was calculated by using the formulae given by Samui et al., 2000 [7].

$$\text{Benefit: cost ratio} = \frac{\text{Gross monetary returns (₹ ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{\text{Total cost of cultivation (₹ ha}^{-1}\text{)}}$$

Productivity values in terms of kg ha<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> was calculated by dividing the production of the sequence by system duration in days, and profitability in terms of ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> was obtained by dividing net returns of the sequence by the total duration of the sequence Reddy and Suresh 2009 [8]. Different efficiencies were computed by using the following formulae:

$$\text{System productivity (kg ha}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Total seed yield produce (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{\text{No. of days required in production}}$$

$$\text{System profitability (₹ ha}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Net monetary return (₹ ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{\text{No. of days required in production}}$$

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### Effect on yield and Rice equivalent Yield (REY):

The average of yield and rice equivalent yield of all the cropping systems were presented in (Table 1). The highest yield (Rice 42.90 qha<sup>-1</sup> + Mustard 16.18 qha<sup>-1</sup>) and REY (42.12 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in Rice- Mustard cropping system followed by Rice-Chickpea cropping (Rice 42.90 qha<sup>-1</sup> + Chickpea 14.04 qha<sup>-1</sup>) and REY (37.84 qha<sup>-1</sup>) over rice-fallow (Rice 45 qha<sup>-1</sup>). The present result conforms with the findings of Kalita et al. [9] and Sharmah et al. [10]

**Table 1: Effect of treatments on Grain yield Rice equivalent yield of different cropping systems**

Treatments	Grain Yield (qha <sup>-1</sup> )	Rice Equivalent Yield (qha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub> (Rice (Variety with maturity above 125 days)	45.76	-
T <sub>2</sub> (Rice (MTU-1010)-Mustard (Pusa Mustard 28)	42.90+16.18	42.12
T <sub>3</sub> (Rice (MTU-1010)-Chickpea (RVG-202)	42.90+14.04	37.84

### Effect on economics of cropping systems:

The gross monetary returns, net monetary returns and benefit: cost ratio was affected by rice-based cropping systems (Table-2). Rice-Mustard cropping system recorded higher in Gross monetary return (₹164943ha<sup>-1</sup>) net monetary return (₹103608ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Benefit Cost Ratio (2.69) followed by Rice-Chickpea cropping system over Rice-Fallow cropping system. The present result is in conformity with the findings of Kalita et al. [9] and Sharmah et al. [10]

**Table 2: Effect of treatments on economics of different cropping systems**

Treatments	Cost of cultivation (₹ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross Monetary Return (₹ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net Monetary Return (₹ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Benefit-Cost Ratio
T <sub>1</sub> (Rice (Variety with maturity above 125 days))	35755	88776	53021	2.48
T <sub>2</sub> (Rice (MTU-1010)-Mustard (Pusa Mustard 28))	61335	164943	103608	2.69
T <sub>3</sub> (Rice (MTU-1010)-Chickpea (RVG-202))	61705	156647	94942	2.54

**Effect on System productivity and profitability of rice-based cropping systems**

The effect of different rice-based cropping sequences on system productivity (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>) and system profitability (ha<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>) are presented in (Table 3). Data showed that the effect on system productivity was highest value in Rice-Mustard cropping system 36.97kg ha<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) after Rice-Chickpea cropping (35.11 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) over Rice-fallow cropping system(33.90kg ha<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>). The System profitability was highest value in Rice-Mustard (₹470.94 ha<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) after Rice-Chickpea cropping system (₹431.56 ha<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) over Rice-fallow cropping system(₹392.75ha<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) The present result is in conformity with the findings of Kalita et al. [9] and Sharmah et al. [10]

**Table 3: Effect of treatments on System Productivity and System profitability of different cropping systems**

Treatments	System Productivity (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	System profitability (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub> (Rice (Variety with maturity above 125 days))	33.90	392.75
T <sub>2</sub> (Rice (MTU-1010)-Mustard (Pusa Mustard 28))	36.97	470.94
T <sub>3</sub> (Rice (MTU-1010)-Chickpea (RVG-202)).	35.11	431.56

**CONCLUSION**

It can be concluded that Rice-fallow cropping of Satna district of Madhya Pradesh can effectively be use by diversified with inclusion of Mustard or Chickpea during *rabi* season which can act as viable systems in productivity and economical point of view. The Rice- Mustard cropping system recorded higher Rice equivalent yield over rice-fallow by 42.12 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, system productivity 36.97 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>, profitability by ₹470.94 ha<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup> and net return ₹103608 ha<sup>-1</sup>. Similarly, Rice-Chickpea cropping system gave 37.84 qha<sup>-1</sup>Rice equivalent yield, 35.11 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>higher productivity, ₹ 431.56 ha<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup> and net return ₹ 94942ha<sup>-1</sup> higher profit than rice-fallow cropping system. Among all the tested cropping sequences, Rice-Mustard is thefeasible sequence in terms of both productivity as well as economical point of view followed by Rice-Chickpeacropping system.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The authors sincerely thanks of ICAR-Agricultural Technology Application Research Institute, Zone IX, Jabalpur, Deendayal Research Institute-Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Satna and Head, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Satna for providing the necessary support.

**REFERENCES**

1. Banjara TR, Bohra JS, Kumar S, Singh T, Shori A, Prajapat K. Sustainable alternative crop rotations to the irrigated rice-wheat cropping system of Indo-Gangetic Plains of India. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.* 2021;67:1568-1585.
2. Banjara TR, Bohra JS, Kumar S, Ram A, Pal V. Diversification of rice-wheat cropping system improves growth, productivity and energetics of rice in the Indo-Gangetic Plains of India. *Agric. Res.* 2022; 11:45-87.
3. Sarkar S. Management practices for enhancing fertilizer use efficiency under rice-wheat cropping system in the Indo-Gangetic Plains. *Innovare J Agric. Sci.* 2015;3:5-10.
4. Gangwar B, Prasad K. Cropping system management for mitigation of second-generation problems in agriculture. *Indian J Agric. Sci.* 2005; 75:65-78.
5. Chaudhary, B N. *Krishi Vigyan Kendra- A guide for KVK managers.* Division of Agricultural Extension, ICAR, 1999; pp. 73-78.
6. Kumar M, Kumar R, Rangnamei KL, Das A, Meena KL, Rajkhowa DJ. Crop diversification for enhancing the productivity for food and nutritional security under the Eastern Himalayas. *Indian J Agric. Sci.* 2019;89 :1157-1161.
7. Samui SK, Maitra S, Roy DK, Mandal AK and Saha D. Evaluation of front-line demonstration on groundnut. *J Indian Soc. Coastal Agric. Res.* 2000; 18(2): 180-183.
8. Reddy BN. Suresh G. Crop diversification with oilseed crops for maximizing productivity, profitability and resource conservation. *Indian J Agron.* 2009; 54:206-214.
9. Kalita B, Barman PD, Nath BC. Rice (*Oryza sativa*) based diversification system in recent flood plain (Jiabharali catchment) situation of NBPZ of Assam. *Journal of Agri Search.* 2015;2(4):311-313.
10. D Sharmah, Chayanika Thakuria and S Paul. Rice-based cropping systems for augmentation of productivity and profitability of farmers of Dibrugarh district, Assam. *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 2023; SP-12(7): 1241-1243.
11. Kumar R, Mishra JS, Rao KK, Bhatt BP, Hazra KK, Hans H, Mondal S. Sustainable intensification of rice fallows of Eastern India with suitable winter crop and appropriate crop establishment technique. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research.* 2019 Oct;26(28):29409-23.