

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARJASS_112462</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Exploring Creativity and Learning Style Preferences in Elementary School Students: An Age, Grade, Gender, and Caste Analysis</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. It addresses crucial aspects of a child's educational development, focusing on creativity and learning style preferences among elementary school students. The study explores the influence of various factors such as age, grade, gender, and caste on creative abilities and learning style preferences. The findings contribute valuable insights that can strategically inform educational methodologies and interventions. Additionally, the emphasis on recognizing individual learning styles advocates for a paradigm shift in educational strategies, making it relevant and impactful for the scientific community</b></li> <li>2. <b>The title appears to be descriptive and informative about the content of the research. However, it is quite comprehensive, and you might consider simplifying it for brevity while ensuring that it still accurately represents the main themes of your research. you can use these titles: "Demographic Influences on Creativity and Learning Styles in Elementary Schools" "A Study of Creativity and Learning Preferences in Elementary Education" "Exploring Elementary School Students' Creativity and Learning Styles"</b></li> <li>3. <b>The abstract of the article is quite comprehensive and provides a clear overview of the research However, it's important to note that abstracts should be concise. While this abstract is detailed, it effectively covers the essential aspects of the study. you may consider condensing some details while ensuring that the core information remains intact.</b></li> <li>4. <b>The structure of the manuscript appears to be appropriate, with clear subsections that guide the reader through the various components of the research</b></li> <li>5. <b>Yes, this manuscript is scientifically correct in general, but there are some problems in this manuscript, please correct these details: a) Depth of Explanation: Some concepts of research on styles and strategies, are mentioned briefly without sufficient elaboration. Providing more details on key theories and research findings would enhance the depth of the article. b) Addressing Counterarguments: The article tends to present the importance of creativity and learning styles without discussing potential counterarguments or criticisms. Including a balanced view would strengthen the overall argument c) Clarity in Presentation: The article, especially in the section discussing previous studies, contains a dense array of information, which may be challenging for readers to navigate. The authors could improve clarity by organizing information in a more structured and reader-friendly manner. d) Need for Methodological Details: The article lacks information on the methodology employed in the present study. Details about the research design, sample size, data collection methods, and analysis techniques are essential for understanding the rigor of the research. e) Limitations: The article does not explicitly mention the limitations of the existing studies or potential limitations of the current research. Addressing these aspects would provide a more balanced perspective on the research. f) I have the following recommendations: 1) How did you define the sample size? 2) What are the exclusion and inclusion criteria? 3) what are limitations of the study? 4) Explain more about the objective of the study in the introduction section.</b></li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

	<p>6) The references in this manuscript are old and articles that are completely outdated have been used, so the references need to be completely updated. Also, there is another point regarding the type of referenced numbers that I think needs to be edited, for example. This type of reference [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6] can be written like this [1-6]. The article lacks information on any recent research or developments in the field of creativity and learning styles. Including up-to-date information would ensure the relevance of the discussion. so, add more recent studies to references and update the discussion</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, there is no problem with this matter</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Also, in order to improve the quality of this manuscript, I will mention some other comments:</p> <p>"Inclusion of Stakeholder Perspectives": While the conclusion mentions the multifaceted implications extending to students, teachers, parents, and policymakers, adding a brief discussion or examples from each stakeholder's perspective could further enrich the conclusion.</p> <p>"Sample Selection and Randomization": While the participants were randomly selected from schools, the schools themselves were conveniently chosen. It would be beneficial to provide more details on the criteria for selecting these particular schools to ensure transparency and address potential biases.</p> <p>"Validity and Reliability": While the instruments used for measuring creativity and learning styles are mentioned, the article lacks information on the validity and reliability of these instruments. Including details on the psychometric properties of the tools would strengthen the methodological rigor.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Siavash Sangi
Department, University & Country	Iran University of Medical Sciences, Iran