

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_112361
Title of the Manuscript:	Identifying the Learning Style of Students Using Machine Learning Techniques: An Approach of Felder Silverman Learning Style Model (FSLSM)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Manuscripts are important to the scientific community because of the novelty and practical elements raised by researchers.</p> <p>The title clearly describes the topic, and the purpose of the research.</p> <p>Abstracts have included elements of objectives, methods, and research results but have not conveyed suggestions related to the results obtained.</p> <p>The background section, research problem, and related works should be put together in the introduction section. Meanwhile, the Felder Silverman Learning Style model section is made into a subtitle of the introduction section. The performance metric section should be incorporated into the methodology section.</p> <p>Theoretically and the methods used are in accordance with scientific principles. However, technically testing the validity of the research results (performance metrics) cannot be confirmed by the reviewer due to differences in scientific fields. The reviewer suggested involving the IT (Information Technology) field to ensure this.</p> <p>There are 6 references that have an age of publication above 10 years. It is recommended to change the references below 2014 to the last 10 years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English used can be understood by the scientific community. Likewise, the terms in the field of education have been appropriately used by the author.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Surya Akbar
Department, University & Country	Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara, Indonesia