

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_111771
Title of the Manuscript:	Byte by Byte: A 5 Year Bibliometric Review of Programming Language Research Dynamics in Southeast Asia (2018-2023)
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.-Yes</p> <p>2.-No</p> <p>3.-Yes</p> <p>4.-Yes</p> <p>5.-Yes</p> <p>6.-Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1.- It's an interesting bibliometric study oriented to a specific geographical area (ASEAN) by means of an impact platform as an appropriate dataset (Scopus) in a 5-year interval using an appropriate methodology (PRISMA) for the type of study, which allows for scientific validity. The study allows to know where research in programming languages trending, main authors and institutions and key topics is.</p> <p>2.- remove "Byte by Byte:" there is no relationship (8 bits) o Byte with the programming languages.</p> <p>5.- It uses the appropriate methodology</p> <p>6.- reference [8] (PRISMA) being the methodology and due to its importance, it should be used from the first time it is mentioned; therefore, the reference index should be updated.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marcos Fajardo-Rendón
Department, University & Country	Escuela Normal Superior de México, México