

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_112501
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDY OF CLASS 2 CARIES REQUIRING STAINLESS STEEL CROWN AND RESTORATION IN MAXILLARY ARCH AMONG CHILDREN AGED BETWEEN 2 TO 6 YEARS
Type of the Article	Original Research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes (it was found that stainless steel crowns were preferred as the treatment of choice for class 2 caries in maxillary arch among children aged between 2 to 6 years)</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Mention the inclusion & Exclusion Criteria</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Should add more recent article in reference section apart from mentioned.</p> <p>Provide insights into the practical application of the findings in different clinical or public health settings.If there are specific subgroups for which the findings may be more or less applicable, highlight and discuss these considerations.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Indicate whether the study received ethical approval from a relevant institutional review board (IRB) or ethics committee. Provide details on the ethical review process, including the criteria considered by the ethics committee</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Himanshu Srivastava
Department, University & Country	Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, India