

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_112185
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk Factors and Prognosis of Chronic Myeloid Leukemia in Saudi Arabia: A Systematic Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Although the manuscript is unique due to its regionality, the subject is not novel. The findings don't seem to make any difference in diagnosing or managing the malignancy. As the topic is not novel and worthy of publication, the authors can improve their manuscript by adding novel data regarding CML.</p> <p>The title is clear and descriptive. However, it is recommended to add a literature review in the title and extend the data about CML, its genetics, management, and some novel data regarding these.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive and well-defined. It can be improved by adding the conclusion section as a separate section.</p> <p>The subsections are ordered, and the manuscript structure is arranged accurately.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct but does not add any new scientific findings.</p> <p>Although there are some references in the reference list, the manuscript can be enhanced by adding more references and extending the context.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are some problems with the writing and structure. It necessarily needs native English editing.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is highly recommended to bring up an updated literature review about CML and its novel diagnosis and management strategies to improve the manuscript for publication.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elham Roshandel
Department, University & Country	Shahid Beheshti University of medical Sciences, Iran