

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JOCAMR_111286</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Integrated Reiki therapy for the treatment and management of Psychosomatic disorders: A pilot study</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. This topic aligns with the progress of the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title is already appropriate.</p> <p>3. The abstract is complete, but it does not mention the research location. In result, the statistical test resulted in a correlation coefficient of <math>r = 0.7224</math>. Please also explain the significance and meaning of this test result in the discussion, as well as its implications</p> <p>4. Funding sources and conflicts of interest have not been mentioned yet.</p> <p>5. Yes, it is correct scientifically.</p> <p>6. Thirteen sources are already out of date or less than 10 years old; it is advisable to replace them with more up-to-date references.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The quality of this article is in accordance with scientific principles</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Putra Agina Widyaswara Suwaryo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Muhammadiyah Gombong, Indonesia</b>