

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_111286
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated Reiki therapy for the treatment and management of Psychosomatic disorders: A pilot study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes but it need to be more objective</p> <p>The article title is suitable but the adjective INTEGRATIVE before Reiki should be removed.</p> <p>The abract is not comprehensive because it fail to state pre and post treatment as well as the procedure for sample selection nor method of controlling unwanted variables. It lack scientifically accepted procedures</p> <p>The manuscript can be scientifically correct if scientifically approved methods are adhered to especially in manipulation of independent variables</p> <p>The references failed to adhere to APA style of references for the 6th and 7th edition. Eg. Helsinki 1964 is in the body of the work but not in the reference</p> <p>The entire work have very few citations.Many of the references are not in the body of the work.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is precisely and well written. The article is suitable for communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The study is good but scientifically approved methods should be used appropriately.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Madubuike Gilber Okechukwu
Department, University & Country	Paul University, Nigeria