

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_112316
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of herbal additives amla ( <i>Emblica officinalis</i> ) and giloy ( <i>Tinospora cordifolia</i> ) on carcass traits of Magra lambs in the semi intensive management system under the arid zone of western Rajasthan
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Yes. Article should be in order of introduction, knowledge gap, Literature review, aim-objectives, material methods, observations, discussion, conclusion, result. This order is not reflecting in this manuscript Table 01 is not attached under heading while table inserted in conclusion head is not having label. No numbers are given to references provided in article. Third reference is having different font size than others.</p> <p>Supportive literature should be mentioned properly with Vancouver reference style. Discussion should be more elaborative. Grammatical mistakes to be eliminated.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1.Key words should be in Italic, one of key word dressing is not reflecting in abstract 2. From where the lambs were selected ? and whether they examined by experts during research? 3. What about other food materials consumed by the lambs during the research? weather all have consumed same food?</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gopal Mahadev Jadhav</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, India</b>