

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_111732
Title of the Manuscript:	Results of weed density, yield attributes and yield on wheat crop as influenced by varying broad spectrum herbicide treatments grown under eastern U.P. in India
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Abstract: It explains how the author conducted research for this manuscript. The author explains nicely everything in the abstract such as herbicide treatment, wheat, duration etc. And the reviewer understood the manuscript concept without difficulties</p> <p>Key words: The needful key words are mentioned in the manuscript.</p> <p>Introduction: With reference of the needful references, the author explains the weed growth result with the herbicides treatment.</p> <p>Literature review: It is missed in the manuscript. But the introduction is looked like the Literature review. The manuscript author needs to be reviewed.</p> <p>Research Methodology: The manuscript authors needs to write a separate paragraph of research Methodology for understanding easily by the readers.</p> <p>Material and Method: The author explains the nature of land and its chemical composition with explanation of climate conditions, irrigation and method of irrigation of applying, where the author conducted the research,</p> <p>Result & discussion: The author discuss the result and discussion in this manuscript under 06 more sub headings with explanation of Table # 1 & Table # 02 , such as ,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Total weed dry matters accumulate -Density of total weed population -Total weed dry matter accumulation -Total dry matter accumulation of wheat crop -Yield attributes of wheat and -Yield of wheat <p>Table # 01 explains the data of Response of total weed diversity and total dry matters accumulate of weeds to various weed management practice.</p> <p>Table # 02 narrates the data's of response of filed and yield of wheat various weed management practice</p> <p>Plot # 01 to 04 i.e. diagrams, which are to be included / added after the table # 01 & 02 in the manuscript or the relevant premises of this manuscript</p> <p>Conclusion: The author gives the conclusion in a prescribed manner. It needs to be elaborated.</p> <p>References: The author mentions all reference's properly in the body of manuscript and also reference columns as well.</p> <p>Reviewer opinions that</p> <p>Accepted with minor review such as</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Needs to be mentioned source of datas because the research was conducted during 2015—16, hence it is mandatory. - Separate paragraph needs to be included for research & Methodology. - Better to be mentioned a separate paragraph to Literature review. 	

Review Form 1.7

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The table # 01 & 02 mention in the first paragraph of under Result and discussion.- Plot # 01 to 04 are to be mentioned in the receptive place of manuscript rather than mentioning in end of the manuscript.- Conclusion needs to be discussed further.- Serial numbers to be given to the subheadings of manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Mohan
Department, University & Country	Oman