

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_111732
Title of the Manuscript:	Results of weed density, yield attributes and yield on wheat crop as influenced by varying broad spectrum herbicide treatments grown under eastern U.P. in India
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The study work is good. ➤ The title: there are missing commas after "density" and "yield attributes." and instead of saying "varying broad spectrum herbicide treatments," specify what those treatments are. For example, you could say "different types of broad-spectrum herbicides" or "various concentrations of broad-spectrum herbicides." This adds more detail and makes the sentence more specific. ➤ The abstract: Improving the quality of this text is to use clear and concise and Review in manuscript all the words in brown, there is a correction below in ➤ The manuscript is considered scientifically correct and follows the scientific method ➤ The references should be listed in a consistent and proper citation format, such as APA or MLA. Each reference should include the author(s), year of publication, title, journal or publication name, volume and issue number, and page numbers ➤ . In some references, there are missing periods at the end of sentences or after initials. Make sure to use consistent punctuation throughout all references ➤ In some references, there are inconsistencies in formatting, such as using italics or quotation marks for titles. Make sure to use consistent formatting throughout all references 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The study work is good one. ➤ Grammatical error is seen in main text and abstract. Modify the sentences in main text. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamdahamd Abdelmowla Ahamed Ali
Department, University & Country	Nile Valley University, Sudan