

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111651
Title of the Manuscript:	SURVEY OF MELON FRUIT FLY, <i>Zeugodacus cucurbitae</i> (COQUILLET) INFESTATION ON CUCURBITS IN DHARWAD AND HAVERI DISTRICTS OF KARNATAKA, INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The article provides important information as basic information for further study</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable. Considering to add certain information about the study laid in certain seasons, would probably make the article more informatic and specific.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is good and comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Consider to use graphic and elaborate it by using several sentences which highlight the important results will make the paper more informative.</p> <p>6. Consider to use the references in recent 5 years if available and avoid the references from anonymous if possible.</p> <p>Conclusion Fair article.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Fikri Adriansyah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia</b>