

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_112307
Title of the Manuscript:	Incidentally Detected Malrotation in a Case of Traumatic Gastric Perforation
Type of the Article	Case study

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>General suggestions/comments:</p> <p>Ethical considerations, such as patient consent and confidentiality, should be addressed ensuring compliance with ethical standards in case report publications.</p> <p>The English need to be improved for the entire manuscript.</p> <p>When describing the patient's condition, it would be helpful to provide more details about the location and size of the gastric perforation. Additionally, the term "blunt trauma to the abdomen" could be further clarified by specifying the nature and cause of the trauma (e.g., motor vehicle accident, fall, assault).</p> <p>Abstract</p> <p>The abstract summarizes the rarity and presentations of intestinal malrotation but lacks a direct correlation to the presented case. It would be beneficial to include how this case contributes to existing knowledge and the implications for future clinical practice.</p> <p>Introduction</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>"Malrotation is symptomatic mostly in the first year of life" could be revised to "Malrotation mostly presents with symptoms in the first year of life." The author should review the text for such issues to improve readability.</p> <p>There is a need to explicitly state the significance of detecting malrotation incidentally in adult trauma cases, emphasizing how it alters management or outcomes.</p> <p>In the last paragraph of the introduction, please state the purpose of the experiments.</p> <p>Case Presentation</p> <p>There are inconsistencies in the patient's vital signs between the Abstract and Case Presentation sections. This needs correction for accuracy.</p> <p>The size of the gastric perforation is described differently in two places (5x5 cm and 4x4 cm). The author should clarify and correct this discrepancy.</p> <p>References to figures and images are not well integrated into the text, making it difficult to follow. It's recommended to provide clear references and descriptions for each figure within the text.</p> <p>The transition between the introduction of malrotation and the specific case presentation is abrupt. Suggest providing a smoother transition that connects general information with the specific case.</p> <p>Clarification is needed on the role of the incidental finding of malrotation in the management of the patient's condition. How did this finding affect the surgical approach and postoperative care?</p> <p>The language in some sections is overly technical without adequate explanation for non-specialist readers. Simplifying or explaining complex terms could enhance readability and understanding.</p> <p>The literature review seems limited. Expanding it to include recent studies on adult malrotation, especially those relevant to incidental findings in trauma cases, would strengthen the paper.</p> <p>The discussion could benefit from a comparison with similar cases reported in the literature, providing a broader context for the study.</p> <p>The implications for future research and potential areas for further study could be explored in more depth, particularly in relation to the management of incidental findings in adult trauma patients.</p> <p>Discussion</p> <p>It would be helpful to define terms such as "SMA axis," "cecal volvulus," and "Ladds procedure" for better comprehension.</p> <p>The paper does not contain any figures or graphs in this part. It is suggested that the author includes relevant graphical data, such as imaging results or a flowchart of the patient's treatment, to enhance the reader's understanding of the case.</p>	
--	---	--

Review Form 1.7

	<p>The discussion section effectively covers the pathophysiology of malrotation and its complications but lacks a direct link to the presented case. It would be beneficial to relate these general statements more closely to the specific patient scenario.</p> <p>I suggest the author expand the discussion by comparing the current case with other published cases, highlighting similarities and differences in presentations and outcomes.</p> <p>A brief discussion on the potential for future research and the need for awareness among clinicians about such rare presentations in adults would add value to the paper.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>In conclusion, while the rarity of adult malrotation is emphasized, the paper would benefit from discussing the specific challenges it presents in diagnosis and management, especially in the context of incidental discovery during trauma surgery.</p> <p>The article's conclusion should be more explicit about the significance of the case. It should discuss the implications of incidentally detecting malrotation in a patient with traumatic gastric perforation. What does this mean for the patient's care and prognosis? How should this knowledge influence clinical practice?</p> <p>References</p> <p>The references section seems adequate but could be expanded to include more recent studies or case reports for a more comprehensive literature review.</p> <p>The references lack strong references that talk about the latest findings of science in 2023 and 2024.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Boumdouha Nouredine
Department, University & Country	Algeria