

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_97575
Title of the Manuscript:	Immuno-Positivity of HIV among Long, Short Distance Drivers and Ordinary Drivers in Rivers State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The work presented does have a local contribution of reference in the health of the population (field of medicine), however it requires a review due to presenting some errors in the writing; You must take care of the form, style, syntax, and give order to the information that is presented. It is necessary for the authors to review and adapt the manuscript with respect to the form of citation, both in each of the paragraphs that they support, as well as in the list of references, (based on the guideline of the journal, or with the characteristics of how must appear)</p> <p>2. The title of the work seems appropriate.</p> <p>3. It is suggested to improve the wording of the summary and adjust to the essential elements that it must contain.</p> <p>4. The sections and structure are correct. In the Introduction, results and discussion sections, it is suggested to separate taking care of the order of ideas and interpretation of the concepts that are intended to be made known.</p> <p>5. The work can be improved both in substance and in the form of writing.</p> <p>6. Updated references on the subject could be added; they must be written taking care of the style/form (according to the guidelines suggested by the journal), both in each of the paragraphs they support, and at the end of the list of references. The style of the tables presented must be taken care of. It is recommended to add the corresponding sections of: Approval (Consents. Approval data of the participants?). Ethical approval Competing interests</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>A minor revision is required regarding the writing of English Where available, the URLs of the references are provided. The text must comply with the style and bibliographic requirements described in the guidelines for authors.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].</p> <p>Necessary suggestions to carry out Reference to a journal: 1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98. Reference to a book: 2. Rang HP, Dale MM, Ritter JM, Moore PK. Pharmacology. 5th ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 2003. Reference to Web-resource or Electronic articles. 3. Hugo JT, Mondal SC. Parallels between tissue repair and embryo morphogenesis: a conceptual framework. Global Health. 2006;16:4. Accessed 29 March 2012. Available: http://www.globalizationandhealth.com/content/1/1/14.</p> <p>It is important to consider the guidelines of writing - editing that gives the journal, and as an example the following conditions can be applied at work: This predominance of <i>A. lumbricoides</i> than any other GIPs agreed with some other reports</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	[23,15]. On the other hand, da Silva et al. [19], Khanal et al. [2] and Hernández et al. [25] found <i>T. trichiura</i> predominant	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benjamin Valladares Carranza
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of The State of Mexico, Mexico