

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_111834
Title of the Manuscript:	An Acquisition Embedded System for Electrical Measurement Monitoring
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.- No. The document addresses the development of a device known as daq that is responsible for sensing external signals to convert them into numerical data that can be interpreted later, since a study of the data is not carried out but only the collection is left in the middle. to be of importance to the scientific community since similar devices exist on the market with varying levels of precision.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable, but the author proposes a development of a device but not the study of the data nor the object of the study of the data, it should be improved.</p> <p>3. Yes, it is understandable but it is recommended not only to explain the development of the device but also the data obtained through the study.</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. They are clearly defined and follow a logical order that facilitates the reader's understanding of the design, development and results of the integrated acquisition system, but an additional section should be added referring to the study of the acquired data.</p> <p>5. Yeah that's right. But it is recommended to do a statistical analysis of the data obtained.</p> <p>6. The bibliographic references are sufficient and recent to support the objectives of the study. No additional references are suggested, as existing ones adequately cover the context and technology related to the topic.</p> <p>7. It is recommended that the author be able to perform an analysis of the data obtained and not only focus on the proposed device.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is recommended to review and correct small grammatical and typographical errors in some parts of the text to further improve the quality of the language.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Julissa Elizabeth Reyna Gonzalez
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional Hermilio Valdizan, Perú