

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_IJPSS_111979</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Cultivating Success- Optimizing Pre-Sowing Techniques for Enhanced Growth, Fruit Yield, and Seed Quality in Okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L. Moench)</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>  |

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p><b>Yes, Standardisation of optimum conditions for seed germination in economically important crops is important for the crop improvement and yield improvement related studies and applications.</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>The description of a treatment mentioned in materials and methods section is incomplete --PEG 6000 13.5 % (- MPa) ( value for 'MPa' not mentioned), The vigur Index I in figure 2 is not representing T1-T3<br/>The references are not formatted in a uniform manner as per the guidelines of the Journal.This should be rectified. Otherwise the references are sufficient.</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | <p>There are punctuation and sentence building mistakes at some places, which need to be rectified. The scientific names should be in italic font , but they are not italicized at few places, which should be rectified.</p>   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  |   |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |                           |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Amit Ashok Chhatre</b> |
| Department, University & Country | <b>India</b>              |