

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_111706</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Prospecting of bioagents and screening of varieties against anthracnose of green gram</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Yes, the manuscript is very important for scientific community, because the authors have worked on very important bio-agents and anthracnose disease of gram crop.</b></li> <li>2. <b>Yes the title is very relevant to methodology and results.</b></li> <li>3. <b>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive and give detailed information about the article.</b></li> <li>4. <b>Yes, all sections and subsection are appropriate.</b></li> <li>5. <b>Yes</b></li> <li>6. <b>The reference are relevant, but not up to mark, so authors are advised to reference a latest work from 2020-2023.</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	Yes, the language quality is up to mark.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The research is novel and genuine.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bhai Khan Solangi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sindh Agriculture University, Pakistan</b>