

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_111762
Title of the Manuscript:	Gene Therapy Advancements for Precision Cancer Treatment: Challenges and Opportunities in Sub-Saharan Africa – Nigeria Perspective
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, this manuscript will provide a comprehensive on gene therapies against cancer but a thorough revision is required to improve the quality of the manuscript.</p> <p>No, as the authors focused on Nigeria only instead they should look for the global scenario</p> <p>The abstract is not scientifically sound so more scientific aspects should be added</p> <p>Subsections and manuscript structure are appropriate but author need to add more information about previous studies conducted on the concerned topic instead of just giving an introduction of the subsection heading</p> <p>The manuscript is not scientifically sound as the information provided is very limited and not description on scientific studies conducted have been added. Its more of a compilation of definitions related to gene therapy.</p> <p>All the recent references are review articles and the authors should search for research articles based on recent advancements and include their outcomes in a concise manner in their review</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality is poor and many grammatical errors are their so, thorough English quality check is required.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors should procure permission from the original authors as per journal guidelines if any has been procured from previous articles.</p> <p>The authors should add recent scientific studies in each section and subsection and should elaborate on recent advances.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anand Kumar Pandey
Department, University & Country	Institute of Engineering and Technology, Bundelkhand University, India