

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_112032
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF LEVELS OF PHYSICAL ACTIVITY AMONG OBESE AND OVERWEIGHT ADULTS ATTENDING GENERAL OUT-PATIENT CLINIC IN LAGOS UNIVERSITY TEACHING HOSPITAL.
Type of the Article	Original Research

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights into the relationship between physical activity and unhealthy BMI among overweight adults attending GOPC, LUTH, with implications for targeted interventions and public health strategies.</p> <p>The title is suitable as it accurately reflects the content of the study, providing a clear indication of the research focus and setting.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive as it succinctly summarizes the research objectives, methods, findings, and recommendations, providing a clear study overview.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and overall structure of the manuscript are appropriate, effectively organizing the content for clarity and coherence.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct, presenting accurate information and maintaining scientific rigor throughout.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent. There are no suggestions for additional references.</p> <p><u>Additional suggestions/comments</u> 1. Include additional keywords like obesity, BMI, weight, and NCD to enhance the study's focus on these criteria.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Ensure careful proofreading for minor issues such as commas, capitalization, word formations, and correct punctuation usage.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anantha Krishna B S</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mangalore University, India</b>