

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_109698
Title of the Manuscript:	Post-immunization adverse events of COVID-19 vaccines in people vaccinated from June 2021 to March 2022 in Ouagadougou
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript aim is important with suitable title. The abstract is comprehensive. The structure is also correct. However, it lacks analysis of the real cause of the PIAE. The effect of vaccination is still ambiguous, whether it is due to the vaccination or other factor. It still needs to add references to discuss the cause of the PIAE.</p> <p>In this research method, it is mentioned that patients fill out the google form independently several hours to several days after being vaccinated. The long time gap biased the results of the study. The time for filling out the questionnaire should be specifically limited, for example 24-48 hours after being vaccinated. Respondents who fill in 2 hours after being vaccinated are very likely to develop PIAE after 24 hours so that they are not detected in this study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes it is suitable	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Didiek Hardiyanto Soegiantoro
Department, University & Country	Immanuel Christian University, Indonesia