

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_111179
Title of the Manuscript:	TREND AND COMPETITIVENESS OF INDIAN SUGAR
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, this manuscript has the potential to make it important to the scientific community as it has analyzed trends and competitiveness indicators providing valuable information about market dynamics. It can be useful for researchers and businesses interested in international trade, market volatility, and competitiveness assessment. In addition, the insights in this paper can guide policymakers in designing strategies to improve India's position in the global sugar market and understanding the challenges in identifying inconsistencies in India's sugar trade can stimulate further research and discussion regarding improving market stability and competitiveness.</p> <p>2. The title is good, but I recommend a title like the one below, because this title encapsulates the core aspects of the paper: analyzing competitiveness, trends, and the temporal scope of the study (1999-2018), providing a clear indication of the paper's focus on Indian sugar's position in the global market.</p> <p>"Assessing the Competitiveness and Trends of Indian Sugar in the Global Market: A 1999-2018 Analysis"</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract of the article provides a good overview of the study's scope, methodology, and key findings. However, there are a few areas where the abstract could be more comprehensive:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Detailed Findings: While the abstract mentions trends such as increasing production and imports, and fluctuating exports, it lacks specific numerical details or percentages to give readers a clearer understanding of the extent of these trends. - Clarity on Inconsistency: The abstract mentions inconsistency in the international market trade of Indian sugar. Providing more detail or examples regarding this inconsistency could enhance the abstract's comprehensiveness. - Further Explanation of NPC Concern: The nominal protection coefficient (NPC) is highlighted as a matter of concern. A brief explanation of what this coefficient signifies and its potential implications for India's sugar trade competitiveness could enhance the abstract's clarity. <p>Overall, the abstract covers the essential elements of the study but could benefit from a bit more elaboration on specific findings and the implications of the identified trends and concerns. This would provide readers with a more comprehensive understanding of the research conducted.</p> <p>4. Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, it seems the manuscript follows a scientific methodology and analysis to assess the position of Indian sugar in the international market. It employs time series data analysis, trend assessments, and computes indicators such as the nominal protection coefficient (NPC) to evaluate competitiveness. These are standard methods used in economic and market analysis, indicating a scientific approach.</p> <p>6. The references cited are relevant and adequate, but not all references on the list are cited in the manuscript. Reference data also does not follow style or template rules → please check the SDI Paper template → References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and NUMBERED in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred to in the text must also be present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language quality meets scholarly communication standards.	
Optional/General comments	This paper is publishable subject to minor revisions noted. Further review is not needed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fauzan Aulia
Department, University & Country	Indonesia

Review Form 1.7