

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_111997
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Foliar Application of 19:19:19 and Mono Potassium Phosphate on Growth and Yield of Green gram ( <i>Vigna radiata</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.- Although it is an exploratory article reporting results from a single experiment and in only one year, it was well conducted and deserves publication.</p> <p>2.- title: OK</p> <p>3.- OK</p> <p>4.- OK</p> <p>5.-it is necessary to: 1) convert all units of measurement to the international metric system, 2) the article must be checked for grammar and spelling errors, 3) make a list of abbreviations such as DAP. YOU GIVE. TDM RDF and its corresponding definitions, 4) The article should incorporate the results of the soil analysis and the nutrient content presented given the very possible soil-plant interactions and foliar nutrient treatments, 5) the separation of the means of the treatments should be performed by Duncan or Tuckey analysis to clearly show differences between the means.</p> <p>6) OK</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Not, it must be upgraded	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Juan Izquierdo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Uruguay</b>