

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_111997
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Foliar Application of 19:19:19 and Mono Potassium Phosphate on Growth and Yield of Green gram (Vigna radiata L.)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript important for scientific community Greengrams are a great protein source. Greengram has the second-highest nutritional content among all pulses. In addition to fixing atmospheric nitrogen, leguminous crops also aid in halting soil erosion. This crop works well in many intensive crop rotations because of its short duration. Furthermore, it can be utilized as livestock feed. It is essential to provide ample opportunity for improvement through the adoption of improved agronomic methods due to its significance in both the economy, nutrition and environment. The foliar spray of both organic and inorganic sources of nutrients is one of them. It is thought to be an effective and affordable way to augment the portion of the crop's nutritional requirements at important phases while also maximizing the crop's potential for improvement.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article suitable</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article comprehensive</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The references are sufficient, but the article lacks recent findings. Please add accordingly.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Absolutely, the article's language and English quality are appropriate for scholarly communications. Grammar and sentence structure both require a little work. All in all, it meets the requirements.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>In the introduction part, the author should include a brief explanation of product 19:19:19 and the source from where it is obtained. It is necessary to gather more information giving a factual background of the product used.</p> <p>A few grammatical errors were seen; the author still needs to check it.</p> <p>In Table 1, please removed the shape "line" that is included beside kg ha⁻¹. Please justify whether it is Number of leaves per plant</p> <p>The article lacks recent findings to support the work. Please add recent findings.</p> <p>The author has written the article properly and overall the article is satisfactory.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bibek Laishram
Department, University & Country	Assam Agricultural University, India