

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_112078
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF INCOME, EXPENDITURE AND RESOURCE USE PATTERN OF SUGARCANE IN TAMIL NADU
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>I would like to highlight that the manuscript is important for scientific community as it brings new findings concerning the analysis of the performance of sugarcane regarding cost and returns, resource use efficiency, and employment generation.</p> <p>The title is suitable and attractive.</p> <p>The abstract is coherent and well-written; however, key words are missing.</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript and its subsections are appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are not up-to-date; yet, we would suggest additional recent references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The English language quality of the manuscript is suitable for scholarly communication; yet, the author should pay more attention to punctuations and some spelling mistakes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The introduction should explicitly outline the research problem. It is also important to highlight clearly the novelty of the research and include its benefits and contributions in the field.</p> <p>We recommend the literature review to be in a chronological order.</p> <p>The methodology is good as it explains data analysis tools. Results and discussion section is appropriate.</p> <p>Conclusion: the author should include a conclusion to sum up the whole paper and include the implications of the study as well as suggestions for future work.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hassane Benlaghrissi
Department, University & Country	Sultan Moulay Slimane University, Morocco