

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Experimental Agriculture International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JEAI_111683 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | SOIL DYNAMICS FOR CARBON BUILDUP IN DIFFERENT LAND USE SYSTEMS IN SOUTH REGION OF GUJARAT, INDIA |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>The manuscript could be useful to the scientific community as relevant sources of information.</p> <p>The manuscript reflects a suitable title.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive yet needs revision.</p> <p>Subsections and structure need to be revised for clarity and in conformity with the journal format.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct as it is done according to scientifically acceptable standards.</p> <p>The author should enrich references (latest) to give more meaning to the manuscript. Additional references are reflected in the example revisions, which can be adopted by the author.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>The English quality can be improved for scholarly communications.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | John L. Lorana |
| Department, University & Country | Dr. Emilio B. Espinosa SR. Memorial State College of Agriculture and Technology, Philippines |