

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_111683
Title of the Manuscript:	SOIL DYNAMICS FOR CARBON BUILDUP IN DIFFERENT LAND USE SYSTEMS IN SOUTH REGION OF GUJARAT, INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, this manuscript is important for environmental science and all scientific community.</p> <p>This title is suitable for this article.</p> <p>Abstract of this article is much comprehensive. It clears the summary of article. But there is some mistake in the abstract. Please re- check the scientific name. First letter of genus cannot be written in italic and please add proper author citation.</p> <p>Subsections and structure of this manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>References are sufficient but there have no any references after 2020. Please add some recent current references within 2020-2024. Next arrange the references alphabetically.</p> <p>Additional suggestion: Arrange the Key words alphabetically.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the English quality is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bingshati Singha Maha Patra
Department, University & Country	Bankura University, India