

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_112433
Title of the Manuscript:	CHARACTERIZATION OF SOIL PROPERTIES INFLUENCING FLASH FLOOD-RELATED ROAD DAMAGE IN NORTH-EASTERN REGIONS
Type of the Article	Original research paper

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is relevant for scientific community. It will allow scientific researcher to contribute to the resolution of road damage in this context of climate change. The title is suitable. The abstract is well written, but the author should back to the guideline and follow the abstract structure of the journal.</p> <p>The work is scientifically correct, but the author should take account to some role such us statistical analysis.</p> <p>The references are acceptable, but he can have more recent than what he used.</p> <p>The authors should clearly explain the method that they used. They should highlight some results of survey.</p> <p>In discussion part the authors did not mention some sources and they cited any previous work that they used the results. It will be necessary to do literature review.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The revision is minor that the authors should really review the manure they present the result and do the discussion. If not the language is clear and suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Harouna Ouedraogo
Department, University & Country	Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo, Burkina Faso