

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_112093
Title of the Manuscript:	The Remkan Distribution and its Applications
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript presents a simple way of forming a mixture model, which is needed for the research field and scientific community.</p> <p>2. The article title would be more suitable if it reflects the main aim and work of the article such as: - A new Two-parameters Lifetime Distribution with monotone non-decreasing hazard rates. This title first reflects that it is a new distribution and second its main application i.e. Lifetime applications. The present title give the researcher the impression that this Remkan distribution exists already and known by this name in the literature.</p> <p>3. The abstract is sufficient for the article.</p> <p>4. The manuscript should include an important key subsection, which is easy to implement for the "Hazard Rate" formulae and behavior plots to show what the author claims about the monotonicity of this rate.</p> <p>5. By reviewing Quickly the steps of the equations which is very simple, it looks correct.</p> <p>6. References are ok.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>With a simple English revision , the author can improve the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Some mor explanations should be included explaining the performance of the estimators and Why sometimes it is not improving with the sample size increase.</p> <p>2. Nothing is mentioned or showed about the distribution of the estimators at least asymptotically.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)

Review Form 1.7

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
---	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abd-Elnaser Saad A. R.
Department, University & Country	Cairo Uiversity, Egypt